

2008 CODE National Agenda

Question V. Curriculum
Part 1: Curriculum Revision

R. Gary Holmes, DMD, MS
Medical College of Georgia School of Dentistry
Department of Oral Rehabilitation
rholmes@mail.mcg.edu

Question V. Curriculum

1. Has your pre-clinical or clinical operative curriculum recently undergone a significant revision? What changes did you make (additions or deletions)? Why did you make the changes and what positive or negative outcomes have you seen?

Results

- ▶ 49 respondents
- ▶ 13 had “major” revisions in past year
- ▶ 5 currently undergoing major revision
- ▶ Several noted making yearly minor changes
- ▶ Two noted there was “change in the winds”
- ▶ A few reported no recent changes

Example: School A

Stronger emphasis:

- ▶ Caries Risk Assessment on all operative patients
- ▶ Caries detection/diagnosis
 - ▶ ICDAS classification
- ▶ Preventive approach/remineralization
 - ▶ Emphasis on F toothpastes, varnish, MI paste, diet changes, xerostomia therapies

Example: School A

Stronger emphasis (continued):

- ▶ More conservative approach to caries removal including changes in technique (e.g. increased use of excavators)
- ▶ Stepwise caries removal
- ▶ Posterior composites for small to moderate lesions
- ▶ Minimally invasive dentistry

Decreased emphasis:

- ▶ Gold (inlays and onlays)

Example: School A

Reasons for Revision:

- ▶ Ensure better continuity and coherence from 1st to 4th years
- ▶ Put more emphasis on current evidence-based concepts related to caries detection and management (including remineralization)
- ▶ Reinforce minimally invasive concepts

Example: School A

- ▶ PROS:
 - Comprehensive approach to Operative Dentistry
 - Defect-specific approach to carious lesions
- ▶ CONS:
 - not accepted by all departments, so students sometimes receive conflicting information

Example: School B

- ▶ Six core thematic tracks
- ▶ Operative Dentistry is part of the “Restoration of Form, Function, and Esthetics” core
 - Operative → “Conservative Direct Restorations”
 - Fixed → “Conservative Indirect Restorations”
- ▶ Begins with caries management (coordinated with the “Caries Management” core).
- ▶ The end stage of management is restoration. Primary dentition included.

Example: School C

- ▶ Morphology no longer a stand-alone class
 - Material integrated over the first two years
- ▶ Cosmetic dentistry greatly expanded
- ▶ Indirect tooth-colored restoratives expanded with a lab visit by students
- ▶ Natural tooth exercises
- ▶ 4th year new Advanced Clinical Skills course with simulation and WREB prep

Example: School D

Pre-clinic:

- ▶ 1st yr course deleted; 2nd yr course runs all year (decrease lag time between pre-clinic and clinic to 5-6 wks)
- ▶ Simulated caries daily exercises (solve the puzzle of extending a preparation based on "caries" involvement)
- ▶ 2nd yr in new sim lab (Adec) to increase realism

Clinic:

- ▶ Junior skills assessment: 2 calibrated faculty, photographs at key steps for later review

Example: School E

Pre-clinic:

- ▶ Increase in posterior resins
- ▶ Decrease in amalgam
- ▶ Increase in Class II direct expectations

Clinic:

- ▶ 3rd yr: Au inlays/onlays no longer a requirement (Students unable to find patients wanting gold castings.)
- ▶ However: now a Class II casting counts as a Class II direct+2 misc. restorations [Suddenly, students are finding patients who want castings (Surprise!)]

Example: School F

Increased emphasis:

- ▶ Occlusion
- ▶ Anterior procedures
- ▶ Composite shade manipulation (hands-on)
- ▶ Posterior composite
- ▶ Composite buildup
- ▶ Root caries treatment with compomers
- ▶ Porcelain inlay/onlay procedures

Example: School G

Pre-clinic:

- ▶ Decreased time for freshmen operative
 - Eliminated casting gold for inlay/onlay – only prepare and wax onlays in lab
- ▶ 2nd yr: CEREC onlays in sim lab

Clinic:

- ▶ 3rd and 4th yr: decreased no. of clinic sessions with corresponding decrease in clinic expectations

Example: School H

- ▶ Individual clinical competencies moved to simulation lab.
- ▶ Competency in areas of clinical practice determined by faculty consensus.
(Must be deemed competent by two faculty in each area to graduate.)
- ▶ Tx goals based on comprehensive care rather than individual requirements.
- ▶ Monitored for variety and timely patient care.

Example: School 1

- ▶ Operative curriculum changed from 3 to 2 semesters.
- ▶ Clinical skills course moved from 2nd yr 2nd sem to 2nd yr 1st sem to move sophomores into clinic sooner (as directed by the administration).

Overall Trends

- ▶ Entry into Clinic (Delayed vs. Accelerated)
- ▶ Change in Sequence
- ▶ Multidisciplinary model
- ▶ CEREC

Entry into clinic

- ▶ Three schools explained that changes were made to the curriculum to transition students to clinic earlier.
- ▶ One school stated that the transition to clinic is now delayed. This has resulted in students forgetting material taught in the pre-clinical operative curriculum leading to being less prepared for 3rd year clinic.

Change in sequence

- ▶ A few schools stated that the material was unchanged, but the sequence of material had been modified.
- ▶ One school has moved the presentation of composite materials to earlier than amalgam.
- ▶ Another changed the order to provide a “just in time” experience of pre-clinical material just before utilizing the new skills in clinic.

Multidisciplinary model

- ▶ Some schools have recently adopted a multidisciplinary/comprehensive/general dentistry clinic for senior (or junior and senior) clinic.

CEREC

- ▶ Three schools report adding CEREC restorations to the pre-clinical (2nd year) curriculum.
 - One respondent has completely replaced gold inlays and onlays with CEREC.

Summary

- ▶ Inlays out/Cast Onlays decreased
- ▶ Amalgam decreased
- ▶ Posterior resins increased
- ▶ CRA/CAMBRA strategies increased

Summary

- ▶ Old model:
 - See decay
 - Repair tooth

- ▶ New model:
 - See decay
 - Diagnose cause
 - Treat cause
 - Repair tooth with a more conservative/bonded restoration